Sensitivity of mRNA Translation

Gilad Poker, Michael Margaliot and Tamir Tuller

arXiv:1409.5399v1 [q-bio.GN] 18 Sep 2014

I. Abstract

Using the dynamic mean-field approximation of the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP), we investigate the effect of small changes in the initiation, exit, and elongation rates along the mRNA strand on the steady state protein translation rate. We focus on two special cases where exact closed-form expressions for the translation rate sensitivity can be derived. We discuss the ramifications of our results in the context of functional genomics, molecular evolution, and synthetic biology.

II. Introduction

During mRNA translation complex molecular machines called ribosomes attach to the 5' end of the messenger RNA (mRNA) and then scan it in a sequential manner. At each elongation step, a nucleotide triplet (codon) is "read" and the ribosome "waits" until a freely diffusing transfer RNA (tRNA), carrying the corresponding amino-acid, binds to the ribosome. The process ends when the ribosome reaches the 3' end of the mRNA, detaches, and releases the chain of amino-acids that folds into a functioning protein [1].

Translation is a crucial step in gene expression, and it is becoming increasingly clear that understanding this process is vital in order to reveal how biological systems develop, evolve, and function. Indeed, mRNA translation is the most extensively regulated step in mammals [23], and a 100-fold range of translational efficiency was detected between different genes [26], [21]. This clearly has a strong effect on the protein abundance that cannot be predicted by measuring mRNA abundances alone.

In this letter, we develop a new approach for studying the sensitivity of the translation rate with respect to changes in the genetic machinery. We show that our analytical predictions agree well with recent experimental findings.

Two important dynamical aspects of the translation process are: (1) certain codons are "slower" than others dut to factors such as low abundance of tRNA molecules with the corresponding anti-codon, folding of the mRNA, and interactions of the translated protein and the ribosome [24]; and (2) many ribosomes scan along the same mRNA chain in parallel and "traffic jams" can form behind a "slow" ribosome.

A mathematical model that encapsulates these properties is TASEP [22], [28], [11]. In this model, particles move along a chain of n consecutive sites. Each site can be either occupied by a particle or free. A particle attaches to the first site with probability α (but only if this site is free), hops from site i to site $i+1$ with probability γ_i (but only if site $i+1$ is free), and hops from the last site of the chain with probability β. In the homogeneous TASEP, all the transitions rates γ_i are assumed to be equal. In the context of translation, the particles [chain] model the ribosomes [mRNA molecule].

The authors are with the School of Elec. Eng., Tel Aviv University, Israel 69978. Corresponding author: Prof. Michael Margaliot, Email: michaelm@eng.tau.ac.il

The dynamic mean-field approximation of TASEP (see e.g., the excellent survey paper [3, p. R345]), sometimes called the *ribosome flow model* (RFM) [20], is a set of n ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_1 &= \lambda_0(1-x_1) - \lambda_1 x_1(1-x_2),\\
\dot{x}_2 &= \lambda_1 x_1(1-x_2) - \lambda_2 x_2(1-x_3),\\
\dot{x}_3 &= \lambda_2 x_2(1-x_3) - \lambda_3 x_3(1-x_4),\\
&\vdots\\
\dot{x}_{n-1} &= \lambda_{n-2} x_{n-2}(1-x_{n-1}) - \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}(1-x_n),\\
\dot{x}_n &= \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}(1-x_n) - \lambda_n x_n. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $x_i(t) \in [0,1]$ is the normalized occupancy level at site i at time t, $\lambda_i > 0$ is a parameter that controls the transition rate from site i to the consecutive site $i+1$. To explain this model, consider for example the equation

$$\dot{x}_1 = \lambda_0(1-x_1) - \lambda_1 x_1(1-x_2).$$

The term $\lambda_0(1-x_1)$ is the rate at which ribosomes attach to the beginning of the chain. This is given by the product of λ_0 (the initiation rate) with $(1-x_1)$. This means that as x_1 increases, *i.e.*, as site 1 becomes fuller, the effective binding rate decreases. In particular, when $x_1(t) = 1$ the site is completely full and the effective binding rate is zero. The term $(1-x_1)$ thus reflects the simple exclusion principle of TASEP. The term $\lambda_1 x_1(1-x_2)$ is the rate in which ribosomes move from site 1 to site 2. This is proportional to the occupancy level at site 1, and to $(1-x_2)$ representing again the simple exclusion principle. The symmetry between the x_i and $(1-x_i)$ terms also preserves the particle-hole symmetry of TASEP. The term $\lambda_n x_n$ describes the rate of ribosomes exiting from the last site, so $R(t) := \lambda_n x_n(t)$ is the protein translation rate at time t.

Unlike TASEP, the RFM is a deterministic and continuous-time model. Nevertheless, it has been shown that for the range of parameters that are relevant for translation RFM and TASEP provide highly correlated predictions [20].

The state-space of the RFM is the unit cube $C^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \in [0,1] : i = 1,\ldots,n\}$. For $a \in C^n$, let $x(t,a)$ denote the solution at time t of the RFM emanating from $x(0) = a$. It is known [15], [14] that the dynamics admits a unique equilibrium $e = e(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n)$, with $e \in \operatorname{int}(C^n)$, and that every trajectory of the RFM converges to e, that is, $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t,a) = e$ for all $a \in C^n$. In particular, $R(t)$ converges to the *steady-state translation rate* $R := \lambda_n e_n$.

Substituting e for x in (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_0(1-e_1) &= \lambda_1 e_1(1-e_2)\\ &\vdots\\ &= \lambda_{n-1}e_{n-1}(1-e_n)\\ &= \lambda_n e_n,\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and this gives

$$R = \lambda_i e_i(1-e_{i+1}), \quad i \in \{0,1,\ldots,n\}, \tag{3}$$

where e_0 and e_{n+1} are defined as 1 and 0, respectively. From this it is possible to obtain an equation for R that includes a continued fraction. For example, for $n=2$, (3) yields

$$1 - \cfrac{R/\lambda_0}{1-\cfrac{R/\lambda_1}{1-R/\lambda_2}} = 0.$$

It has been recently shown [19] that the $(n+2)\times(n+2)$ matrix:

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda_0^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0\\ \lambda_0^{-1/2} & 0 & \lambda_1^{-1/2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_1^{-1/2} & 0 & \lambda_2^{-1/2} & \ldots & 0 & 0\\ & & & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \lambda_{n-1}^{-1/2} & 0 & \lambda_n^{-1/2}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \lambda_n^{-1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

has real and distinct eigenvalues: $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \cdots < \zeta_{n+2}$, with

$$\zeta_{n+2} = R^{-1/2}. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore, if we let q_i, $i \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$, denote the $(i+1)\times(i+1)$ principal minor of $(\zeta_{n+2}I - A)$ then

$$q_i = R^{-(i+1)/2} e_i e_{i-1}\ldots e_1. \tag{6}$$

This provides a powerful linear-algebraic framework for studying the steady-state in the RFM and its dependence on the (generally inhomogeneous) entry, exit, and transition rates. Note that since A is a (componentwise) nonnegative matrix, ζ_{n+2} is also the Perron root of A, denoted $\rho(A)$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^k_{++} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i > 0,\ i = 1,\ldots,k\}$. In [19], (5) was applied to prove that the mapping $(\lambda_0,\ldots,\lambda_n) \to R$ is strictly concave on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{++}$. This means that the problem of maximizing R, subject to an affine constraint on the rates, is a convex optimization problem. Maximizing R, given the limited bimolecular budget, is important because it is known that translation is one of the most energy consuming processes in the cell [1]. Also, maximizing the translation rate is an important challenge in synthetic biology and specifically in heterologous gene expression (see, for example, [8]). For other recent results on the analysis of the RFM using tools from systems and control theory, see, e.g., [14], [16], [27].

The matrix A should not be confused with the transition matrix used in the stochastic analysis of TASEP, as that matrix decodes the transitions between all possible particle configurations and is thus of dimensions $2^n \times 2^n$. This limits its use to very short TASEPs only.

Several interesting papers studied the effect of slow codon configurations on the steady-state current in TASEP [4], [18], [6], [10]. The linear-algebraic representation of R provides a new, exact, and computationally efficient approach for studying this issue in the RFM. For example, Fig. 1 shows R, computed via (5), for various slow rate configurations in an RFM with $n = 1000$.



Fig. 1: Steady state translation rate R in a RFM with $n = 1000$ and rates $\lambda_i = 1$ except for a configuration of slow rates with rate q. Solid line: $\lambda_{500} = q$; Dotted line: $\lambda_{500} = \lambda_{501} = q$; Dashed line: $\lambda_{499} = \lambda_{500} = \lambda_{501} = q$. As expected, R is a monotone function of q. Note that a cluster of consecutive slow sites considerably reduces R.

Here, however, we use (5) to analyze a different, yet related, notion, namely, the *sensitivities*

$$s_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} R, \quad i = 0,1,\ldots,n.$$

A relatively large value of s_k indicates that the rate λ_k has a strong effect on the translation rate R. The advantage of our approach is that determining s_i becomes an eigenvalue perturbation problem. Since A is (componentwise) nonnegative and irreducible [9, Ch. 8] there exists an eigenvector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}_{++}$ such that $Av = \zeta_{n+2}v$. By known results from linear algebra [12],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}\zeta_{n+2} = \frac{v'(\frac{d}{d\lambda_i}A)v}{v'v}, \tag{7}$$

so

$$s_i = \frac{2R^{3/2}v_{i+1}v_{i+2}}{\lambda_i^{3/2}v'v}. \tag{8}$$

This provides a way to compute, in an efficient and numerically stable way, the sensitivities for large-scale RFMs using standard algorithms for computing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric matrices.

Fig. 2 depicts $\ln(s_i)$ as a function of i for three homogeneous RFMs (HRFMs) (*i.e.*, $\lambda_i = 1$ for all $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$), with size $n = 200$. The left sub-figure shows the case where $\lambda_0 = 0.4$ and $\lambda_{200} = 1$, so λ_0 is the rate limiting factor. The sensitivity s_0 is maximal and the sensitivities decrease as i increases. This regime describes the typical case in endogenous genes where initiation is the rate limiting factor [13]. Such genes indeed demonstrate selection for increased robustness to transcription errors in ORF features that affect the translation rate (*e.g.* mRNA folding and adaptation to the tRNA pool) [24]. Similarly, our results may also explain



Fig. 2: $\ln(s_i)$ as a function of i in three HRFMs with $n = 200$. Left: $\lambda_0 = 0.4$ and $\lambda_{200} = 1$. Here λ_0 is rate limiting and thus the sensitivities of sites close to $i = 0$ are more important. Note that $\ln(s_i)$ decays linearly with i. Middle: $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{200} = 1$. Here the maximal sensitivity is with respect to $\lambda_{n/2}$ and it decays as we move towards the edges of the chain. Right: $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_{200} = 0.4$.

the evolutionary selection for unusual codon usage bias at the ORF 5' end [25].

The right sub-figure shows the symmetric case where $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_{200} = 0.4$. The middle sub-figure depicts the case where *all* the λ_is are one. The plot then shows the TASEP *edge effect* [7]: the maximal sensitivity is in the center of the chain, and it decreases as we move toward the edges. This suggests that in order to maximize the translation rate in heterologous gene expression [8] more attention should be devoted to tuning the codons in the middle of the coding sequence.

Pick $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $v_k > 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n+2\}$, Eq. (8) implies that $s_i > 0$, *i.e.* an increase in any of the rates increases the steady state translation rate. To determine an upper bound on s_i, perturb λ_i to $\tilde{\lambda}_i := \lambda_i + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon > 0$. This yields a perturbed matrix $\tilde{A}$ that is identical to A except for entries $(i+1, i+2)$ and $(i+2, i+1)$ that are:

$$\tilde{\lambda}_i^{-1/2} = (\lambda_i + \epsilon)^{-1/2} = \lambda_i^{-1/2} - \epsilon\lambda_i^{-3/2}/2 + o(\epsilon^2).$$

Thus, $\tilde{A} = A + E$, where E is a matrix with zero entries except for entries $(i+1, i+2)$ and $(i+2, i+1)$ that are $-\epsilon\lambda_i^{-3/2}/2 + o(\epsilon^2)$. By Weyl's inequality [9, Ch. 4],

$$\rho(\tilde{A}) \geq \rho(A) - \epsilon\lambda_i^{-3/2}/2 + o(\epsilon^2).$$

This yields $\frac{d\rho(A)}{d\lambda_i} \geq -\lambda_i^{-3/2}/2$, so $s_i \leq \left(\frac{R}{\lambda_i}\right)^{3/2}$, and since $R \leq \lambda_i$ for all i [20], $s_i \leq 1$. Thus, the maximal possible effect of a small increase/decrease in any of the rates is an increase/decrease of the same magnitude in the translation rate. This agrees with a recent experimental study on the change in protein abundance resulting from perturbing the codons of heterologous genes [2]. In this study, 25 variants of the viral gene *HRSVgp04* were generated and the corresponding protein levels were measured in *S. cerevisiae*. In each variant *only* codons 41-80 of the ORF were perturbed, without changing the encoded protein; thus, mRNA levels and translation initiation were expected to be identical in all variants. For each variant, the predicted change in the corresponding λ_is (*i.e.* transition rates) was computed based on [5]. An average change of 33.3% in the transition rate led to a 27.5% change in the protein levels.

Below we focus on two special cases where it is possible to obtain *exact closed-form* expressions for the sensitivities.



Fig. 3: $\Delta(n)$ as a function of n.

A. Totally homogeneous ribosome flow model (THRFM)

Suppose that $\lambda_i = \lambda_c$ for *all* $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$. In other words, the initiation rate, exit rate, and all transition rates are equal, with λ_c denoting their common value. We refer to this case as the THRFM. The matrix A in (4) then becomes $A = \lambda_c^{-1/2} B$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+2)\times(n+2)}$ is a tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix with zeros on the main diagonal, and ones on the super- and sub-diagonal. It is well-known [17] that the Perron root and Perron eigenvector of B are $\rho(B) = 2\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right)$, and $v(B) = \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right) \;\; \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n+3}\right) \;\; \ldots \;\; \sin\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{n+3}\right)\right]'$. Therefore, $\rho(A) = 2\lambda_c^{-1/2}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right)$, and

$$R = \rho^{-2}(A) = \frac{\lambda_c}{4}\cos^{-2}\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right).$$

Substituting these values in (8) and using the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2}\sin^2(\frac{i\pi}{n+3}) = (n+3)/2$ shows that the sensitivities in the THRFM are

$$s_i = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{i+1}{n+3}\pi\right)\sin\left(\frac{i+2}{n+3}\pi\right)}{2(n+3)\cos^3\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right)}, \quad i = 0, \ldots, n. \qquad (9)$$

This provides a closed-form expression for the graph shown in the middle plot of Fig. 2.



Fig. 4: $\ln(s_i)$ as a function of i for two HSRFMs with $n = 200$. Left: $\alpha = 0.4$. Here $1 - \alpha = 0.6$, so the entry rate is the limiting factor. $s_{200} = -s_0 < 0$ is not shown. Right: $\alpha = 0.6$. Here $1 - \alpha = 0.4$, so the exit rate is the limiting factor. $s_0 = -s_{200} < 0$ is not shown.

By (5), $R(c\lambda_0, \ldots, c\lambda_n) = cR(\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ for all $c > 0$. By Euler's homogeneous function theorem, $R = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i s_i$, and for the THRFM this gives $\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i = \frac{1}{4}\cos^{-2}\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right)$. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i = 1/4$.

An exact measure of the edge effect is given by the ratio

$$\Delta(n) := \frac{s_{n/2}}{s_0} = \left(8\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{n+3}\right)\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi/2}{n+3}\right)\right)^{-1}$$

(see Fig. 3). Note that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta(n) = \infty$. In other words, although all the sensitivities decay with n (see (9)) the edge effect actually becomes more prominent. One explanation for the edge effect here is that a site at the center of the chain has "more neighbors" than a site located towards one of the edges of the chain. Thus, when all the rates are equal the protein translation rate is more sensitive to the rates at the center of the chain.

B. Homogeneous and symmetric ribosome flow model (HSRFM).

Another particular case where closed-form expressions for the s_is can be derived is when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-1} := \lambda_c$, and

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_c - \lambda_0. \tag{10}$$

We refer to this case as the HSRFM. The matrix A in (4) then becomes $A = \lambda_c^{-1/2} C$, where

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha^{-1/2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^{-1/2} & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 & (1-\alpha)^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & (1-\alpha)^{-1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\alpha := \lambda_0/\lambda_c$. For $\alpha < 1$, $\rho(C) = (\alpha(1-\alpha))^{-1/2}$, and $v(C)$ is given by

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1, \\ \mu^{(i-1)/2}\alpha^{-1/2}, & 2 \le i \le n+1, \\ \mu^{n/2}, & i = n+2, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $\mu := \alpha/(1-\alpha) = \lambda_0/\lambda_n$. Note that if $\alpha = 1/2$ then $v'v = 2(1+n)$, and otherwise $v'v = \frac{2(1-\mu^{n+1})}{1-\mu}$. Thus, $R = \rho^{-2}(A) = \lambda_c\alpha(1-\alpha)$. The sensitivities in the HSRFM can now be determined.[1] If $\alpha = 1/2$ then

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i = 0 \text{ or } i = n, \\ \frac{1}{4(n+1)}, & 1 \le i \le n-1, \end{cases}$$

and otherwise,

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1 - 2\alpha, & i = 0, \\ \alpha(1-2\alpha)\frac{\mu^i}{1-\mu^{n+1}}, & 1 \le i \le n-1, \\ 2\alpha - 1, & i = n. \end{cases}$$

This can be interpreted as follows. If $\lambda_0 < \lambda_n$ (so $\alpha < 1/2$ and $\mu < 1$) then $\frac{s_{i+1}}{s_i} = \mu$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, i.e. inside the chain the sensitivity strictly decreases with i. This is reasonable, as in this case the initiation rate is the rate limiting factor. Note that $s_n < 0$. This is due to (10), as increasing λ_n means decreasing λ_0, and since this is the rate limiting factor, this decreases R. The case $\lambda_0 > \lambda_n$ is symmetric.

Fig. 4 depicts the s_is for $n = 200$ and two values of α. Comparing this to Fig. 2 shows that the explicit equations for the HSRFM actually provide very good approximations to the general behavior of the HRFM in the case where either the entry rate or the exit rate are the rate limiting factors.

Summarizing, steady-state properties of the dynamic mean-field approximation of TASEP can be represented in a linear-algebraic form. Using this representation, we studied the sensitivity of the steady-state translation rate to perturbations in the initiation, transition, and exit rates. In this context, the problem reduces to the sensitivity of the Perron root of a symmetric, nonnegative, tridiagonal matrix. This leads to: (1) efficient numerical computation of the sensitivities that is thus applicable for large-scale models; and (2) exact, closed-form expressions for the sensitivities in some special, yet important, cases.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Alberts, A. Johnson, J. Lewis, M. Raff, K. Roberts, and P. Walter, *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. New York: Garland Science, 2008.

[1]Here s_i, $1 \le i \le n-1$, were calculated using (8). Due to the additional coupling in (10), s_0 and s_n cannot be computed using (8), so we used (7).

[2] T. Ben-Yehezkel, S. Atar, T. Marx, R. Cohen, A. Diament, A. Dana, A. Feldman, E. Shapiro, and T. Tuller, "Systematic study of synthetic transcript features in s. cerevisiae exposes gene-expression determinants," 2014, submitted.

[3] R. A. Blythe and M. R. Evans, "Nonequilibrium steady states of matrix-product form: a solver's guide," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 40, no. 46, pp. R333–R441, 2007.

[4] T. Chou and G. Lakatos, "Clustered bottlenecks in mRNA translation and protein synthesis," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 93, p. 198101, 2004.

[5] A. Dana and T. Tuller, "The effect of tRNA levels on decoding times of mRNA codons," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2014, to appear.

[6] J. J. Dong, B. Schmittmann, and R. K. P. Zia, "Inhomogeneous exclusion processes with extended objects: The effect of defect locations," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 76, p. 051113, 2007.

[7] J. J. Dong, R. K. P. Zia, and B. Schmittmann, "Understanding the edge effect in TASEP with mean-field theoretic approaches," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 42, no. 1, p. 015002, 2009.

[8] C. Gustafsson, S. Govindarajan, and J. Minshull, "Codon bias and heterologous protein expression," *Trends Biotechnol.*, vol. 22, pp. 346–353, 2004.

[9] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[10] A. B. Kolomeisky, "Asymmetric simple exclusion model with local inhomogeneity," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 1153–1164, 1998.

[11] C. T. MacDonald, J. H. Gibbs, and A. C. Pipkin, "Kinetics of biopolymerization on nucleic acid templates," *Biopolymers*, vol. 6, pp. 1–25, 1968.

[12] J. R. Magnus, "On differentiating eigenvalues and eigenvectors," *Econometric Theory*, vol. 1, pp. 179–191, 1985.

[13] N. Malys and J. McCarthy, "Translation initiation: variations in the mechanism can be anticipated," *Cell Mol Life Sci.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 991–1003, 2011.

[14] M. Margaliot, E. D. Sontag, and T. Tuller, "Entrainment to periodic initiation and transition rates in a computational model for gene translation," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. e96039, 2014.

[15] M. Margaliot and T. Tuller, "Stability analysis of the ribosome flow model," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, vol. 9, pp. 1545–1552, 2012.

[16] M. Margaliot and T. Tuller, "Ribosome flow model with positive feedback," *J. Royal Society Interface*, vol. 10, p. 20130267, 2013.

[17] S. Noschese, L. Pasquini, and L. Reichel, "Tridiagonal Toeplitz matrices: properties and novel applications," *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 20, pp. 302–326, 2013.

[18] P. Pierobon, M. Mobilia, R. Kouyos, and E. Frey, "Bottleneck-induced transitions in a minimal model for intracellular transport," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 74, p. 031906, 2006.

[19] G. Poker, Y. Zarai, M. Margaliot, and T. Tuller, "Maximizing protein translation rate in the nonhomogeneous ribosome flow model: A convex optimization approach," *J. Royal Society Interface*, 2014, to appear. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6340

[20] S. Reuveni, I. Meilijson, M. Kupiec, E. Ruppin, and T. Tuller, "Genome-scale analysis of translation elongation with a ribosome flow model," *PLOS Computational Biology*, vol. 7, p. e1002127, 2011.

[21] M. F. Rojas-Duran and W. V. Gilbert, "Alternative transcription start site selection leads to large differences in translation activity in yeast," *RNA*, vol. 18, pp. 2299–2305, 2012.

[22] A. Schadschneider, D. Chowdhury, and K. Nishinari, *Stochastic Transport in Complex Systems: From Molecules to Vehicles*. Elsevier, 2011.

[23] B. Schwanhausser, D. Busse, N. Li, G. Dittmar, J. Schuchhardt, J. Wolf, W. Chen, and M. Selbach, "Global quantification of mammalian gene expression control," *Nature*, vol. 473, no. 7347, pp. 337–42, 2011.

[24] T. Tuller, I. Veksler, N. Gazit, M. Kupiec, E. Ruppin, and M. Ziv, "Composite effects of gene determinants on the translation speed and density of ribosomes," *Genome Biol.*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. R110, 2011.

[25] T. Tuller and H. Zur, "Multiple roles of the coding sequence 5 end in gene expression regulation," 2014, submitted.

[26] J.-J. Vasquez, C.-C. Hon, J. T. Vanselow, A. Schlosser, and T. N. Siegel, "Comparative ribosome profiling reveals extensive translational complexity in different Trypanosoma brucei life cycle stages," *Nucleic Acids Res.*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 3623–3637, 2014.

[27] Y. Zarai, M. Margaliot, and T. Tuller, "Explicit expression for the steady-state translation rate in the infinite-dimensional homogeneous ribosome flow model," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, vol. 10, pp. 1322–1328, 2013.

[28] R. Zia, J. Dong, and B. Schmittmann, "Modeling translation in protein synthesis with TASEP: A tutorial and recent developments," *J. Statistical Physics*, vol. 144, pp. 405–428, 2011.